Gutenberg-Richter Breakdown and the Smallest Earthquakes at the San Andreas Fault Observatory at Depth



Bill Ellsworth U. S. Geological Survey and Kaz Imanishi GSJ/AIST

Outline



- Overview of the San Andreas Fault at SAFOD
- Nucleation zone size and earthquakes at SAFOD
- Building a Catalog of SAFOD Earthquakes
- Gutenberg Richter Breakdown
- Summary



Scientific Drilling Into the San Andreas Fault Zone – An Overview of SAFOD's First Five Years Zoback, Hickman & Ellsworth (Scientific Drilling, 2011)





Static Stress Drop vs Seismic Moment



➢Individual stress drop estimates span 3 order of magnitude (0.1 to 100 MPa), which do not depend on earthquake size.

➤This range is consistent with other microearthquake studies on the basis of a similar approach (e.g., Ide et al., 2001; Abercrombie & Rice, 2005)

➤This range is also consistent with moderate and large earthquakes.



Slip in a confined region must precede the earthquake instability

Ida (1973), Dieterich (1992)



Minimum Rupture Dimension $h^* = G D_c / ((\sigma_n - P_0)(b - a))$

Rice and Ruina (1983)

	$h^* = G D_c / ((\sigma_n - P_0)(b - a))$
G	Shear Modulus = ρV_s^2 = 2 x 10 ¹⁰ Nm ⁻²
σ_{n}	Normal Stress (measured) = 130 MPa @ 3 km
P ₀	Pore Pressure = 1 g z = 47 MPa @ 3 km
D _c	Displacement weakening distance
(b-a)	Rate-and-State parameter



Jim Dieterich (JGR, 1992)





Building a Catalog of SAFOD Earthquakes



Examples of Earthquakes Recorded in SAFOD at 2.5 km depth





Data Window 0.75 s

37 months of continuous data acquisition at SAFOD (MH022: May 2009 – August 2010) (MH023-025: December 2010 – present)

- 15 Hz geophone (1000 samples/s)
- Installed at 2.5 km depth
- Earthquakes Identified by Manual Inspection of Bi-Hourly Spectrograms





Comparing Seismograms Recorded at -2400 m and -200 m depth

🞘 Xming X

↓ -□×

M = 0.5



M = -0.1



Comparing Seismograms Recorded at -2400 m and -200 m depth

🞘 Xming X

M = -1.2



Gutenberg – Richter Magnitude-Frequency Distribution



"Missing Earthquakes" Problem

The result could be an artifact, but I think this unlikely as every candidate event is visually examined.



Data Window 0.75 s





Data Window 0.75 s

Earthquakes within 1 kilometers May 2009 – September 2012



Scaling of small repeating earthquakes explained by interaction of seismic and aseismic slip in a rate and state fault model T. Chen and N. Lapusta, *JGR*, 2019



Ratio of Seismic Moment/Total Moment = 0.3 for patch radius of 130 m Scaling of small repeating earthquakes explained by interaction of seismic and aseismic slip in a rate and state fault model T. Chen and N. Lapusta, *JGR*, 2019



Ratio of Seismic Moment/Total Moment = 0.01 for patch radius of 124 m

Rate-and-State Model for the Bottom of the Magnitude Scale



Seismic Slip Deficit after Chen and Lapusta (2009)

Assumptions

• SAFOD earthquakes caused by small velocityweakening contact areas on an otherwise creeping fault.

• Population statistics of patches that produces a constant b-value for patch radii >> h* continues in a self-similar manner for radii <= h*.

• The fraction of the total moment released by each patch in seismic waves follows the Chen and Lapusta curve.







Summary of SAFOD Results

• Earthquakes on the San Andreas Fault near SAFOD follow the universally observed magnitude-invariant stress drop scaling down to at least M = -1. Stress drops generally fall in the range between 1 and 100 MPa. High stress drops suggests these repeating earthquake occur on small patches where high-strength rocks are in contact along the otherwise weak San Andreas Fault.

• The cumulative frequency-magnitude curve for earthquakes within 1 km of the deep SAFOD seismometer deviates from a constant b-value below Mw -0.5. The discrepancy does not appear to be a detection artifact.

• The breakdown in frequency-magnitude statistics below M = -0.5 is consistent with laboratory-derived values for rate-and-state friction. For earthquakes near the stability limit, most of the slip during the seismic cycle occurs aseismically.