

the faults?

Е

S

at steady state

this reduces to





Fig. 5 Friction coefficient at a fixed shear displacement of 1.2 mm at all temperatures vs. the different conditions. Strength does not vary much for the dry samples. For the wet experiments strength increases with T, whereas in the presence of CO₂ there is a slight decrease.

Frictional behavior of simulated anhydrite fault gouge and the effects of temperature and supercritical CO,

Pluymakers, A., Samuelson, J., Spiers, C. HPT Laboratory, Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands



1. How frictionally strong are

2. If the fault is reactivated, could the motion be microseismic?





2.

Direct shear experiments are conducted on simulated anhydrite fault gouge using two different triaxial machines which both use oil as a confining medium.

Material:

- Zechstein anhydrite from Hardenberg well, Groningen, the Netherlands

- Material is crushed and sieved at a grain size $< 50 \mu m$ - Dry experiments: gouge layer is dried at T~110°C in vacuum furnace overnight before sample assembly

Experimental conditions:

- dry, $P_c = \sigma' = 25$ MPa

- wet: saturated & pressurized with DI water, $\sigma = 25$ MPa, P_c= 15 MPa

- dry CO₂: pressurized with CO₂, $\sigma' = 25$ MPa, P_{CO2} = 15 MPa - wet CO_3 : saturated with DI water and pressurized with $CO_{2}, \sigma' = 25 \text{ MPa}, P_{CO2} = 15 \text{ MPa}$

Temperature range:

80°C - 100°C - 120°C - 135°C - 150°



Fig. 1 Frictional properties as a function of temperature for dry anhydrite fault gouge. a) friction coefficient vs shear displacement [mm]. Friction coefficient between 0.55-0.65. Note how the increase $\exists 0.5$ in temperature leads to stick-slip behavior **b**) (a-b) values as a function temperature. of Increasing temperature leads to a negative (a-b) value, indicating potential increasing for microseismicity

Fig. 2 Frictional properties as a function of temperature for gouge 0.65 pressurized with DI water **a**) friction coefficient shear VS displacement [mm]. Friction 🔔 coefficient between 0.55-0.67. The - 0.5 friction coefficient increases with 0.45 increasing temperature **b**) (a-b) of function values as a temperature. Decreasing the 0.35 temperature leads to negative (a-b) value, indicating a transfer to stable sliding behavior with rising temperature

Fig. 3 Frictional properties as a function of temperature for gouge pressurized with dry CO₂ **a)** friction coefficient vs shear displacement [mm]. Friction coefficient between $\exists 0.55$ 0.52-0.67. Note the sticks-slip events at intermediate T **b**) (a-b) function as a values of temperature. Negative (a-b) values for intermediate temperatures concur with the unstable sliding

Fig. 4 Frictional properties as a function of temperature for water-wet gouge pressurized with CO₃ **a**) friction coefficient vs shear displacement [mm]. Friction coefficient between 0.47-0.57. $\exists_{0.5}$ (Peak) friction decreases with increasing temperature **b**) (a-b) as a function of values temperature. (a-b) values are positive over the investigated temperature range







Observations

Increasing temperature leads to a negative (a-b)

 $T = 135^{\circ}C \& T = 150^{\circ}C$: stick-slip if v<5 μ m/s

 $T = 135^{\circ}C \& T = 150^{\circ}C$: continuous ε weakening

Increasing temperature leads to a positive (a-b)

with increasing ε (a-b) becomes positive

Continuous ε weakening for all

DRY CO

T = 80°Ć	: (a-b) > 0
$T = 120^{\circ}C$: (a-b) < 0
$T = 150^{\circ}C$: $(a-b) \mod > 0$

 $T = 120^{\circ}C$: stick slip behavior a low v; at high ε also at medium

WET CO₂ (a-b) > 0 at all T

Overall:

Without CO₂ there is some potential for microseismicity. This potential increases when there is no water present and with increasing temperature. However, the presence of water and CO₂ decrease the potential for microseismicity; independent of temperature.

- Frictional strength is independent of temperature for dry experiments. Wet anhydrite fault gouge becomes slightly stronger with temperature. Gouges with CO₂ become slightly weaker, though this is most pronounced under wet $+ CO_2$ conditions.

Further research

- Investigate microstructures where possible
- Determine importance of strain weakening
- Determine the influence of normal stress

How strong are faults filled with anhydrite fault gouge?

Under the investigated experimental conditions, anhydrite has a friction coefficient of approximately 0.6.

It is sensitive to temperature when pore fluid is present, and it also depends on the type of pore fluid (water/CO,/water + CO,).

In general variations are within 0.60±0.05. Wet anhydrite is slightly weaker than dry anhydrite. The strength of dry anhydrite is not influenced by temperature, whereas wet anhydrite becomes slightly stronger at high temperatures. At 80°C the presence of CO, does not effect the strength, but with increasing temperature both wet and dry gouge become weaker when pressurized with CO₂, with $\mu \sim 0.55$ for both at 150°C.

microseismic?

Our data indicate that

R

NO, microseismicity will not occur in wet gouge with or without CO, in the investigated temperature range, except possibly in wet gouge at 80°C

YES, there is a potential for microseismicity in dry gouge with and without CO, at temperatures of 135 and 150°C.





	Implications
	increasing T increases risk for unstable behavior/ increased risk for microseismicity
	confirms negative (a-b) / unstable sliding
	If this is a material property, the measured friction coefficient is an upper limit when comparing to nature
	increasing T leads to stable sliding: diminishing likelihood for earthquakes
S	High strain fault zones with well-developed fabric may have positive (a-b)
Т	If this is a material property, the measured friction coefficient is an upper limit when comparing to nature
	Dry anhydrite fault gouge pressurized with CO ₂ only shows velocity weakening behavior at intermediate temperatures
at า v	confirms negative (a-b) at intermediate temperature
	for $\sigma_{n,eff}$ = 25 MPa the presence of CO ₂ in wet anhydrite fault gouge decrease the potential for microseismicity, independent of T

If the fault is reactivated, could the motion be